

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/796,036	03/10/2004	Junichi Shinohara	250128US2	2107
	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
				MADDEN, GREGORY VINCENT	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			.2622	
				,	
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				03/07/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/796,036	SHINOHARA, JUNICHI
Examiner ·	Art Unit
Gregory V. Madden	2622

·	Gregory V. Madderi	2022					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 14 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complianc time periods:	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the follow						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			•				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, who no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).	•					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered be	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NO						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	distant and the life in a	u				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally re	ected claims.					
NOTE: See continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11)	6 and 41.33(a)).		· •				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•	•				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		·	ū				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		il be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5, 7, 8, and 10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	40						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See continuation sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							

CONTINUATION OF PTOL-303

Response to Amendment

The proposed amendment filed after the final rejection of February 14, 2007 will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Specifically, the Applicant has amended claim 1 to include the limitation of "a first auto focusing device which is a charge coupled device auto focus (CCDAF) configured to obtain and evaluate sequentially an image signal according to each focusing condition changed by relatively moving at least one of a part or an entire of said photographic optical system and said imaging device, thereby obtaining a predetermined focusing condition based on an evaluation result on said image signal" and "a second auto focusing device which is an auto focus (AF) including the ranging device configured to obtain a focusing condition according to said subject distance obtained by said ranging device" (See newly amended claims and Remarks Pgs. 6-8). As the emphasized limitation is newly added to the independent claim and did not appear in any previously dependent claims, the proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further search and consideration.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory V. Madden whose telephone number is 571-272-8128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ngoc Yen Vu can be reached on 571-272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,036

Art Unit: 2622

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gregory Madden February 28, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER